

# SYMBOLIC POWERS OF SUMS OF IDEALS

HUY TÀI HÀ, HOP DANG NGUYEN, NGO VIET TRUNG, AND TRAN NAM TRUNG

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $I$  and  $J$  be nonzero ideals in two Noetherian algebras  $A$  and  $B$  over a field  $k$ . We study algebraic properties and invariants of symbolic powers of the ideal  $I+J$  in  $A \otimes_k B$ . Our main technical result is the binomial expansion  $(I+J)^{(n)} = \sum_{i+j=n} I^{(i)} J^{(j)}$  for all  $n > 0$ . Moreover, we show that if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  or if  $I$  is a monomial ideal, the induced maps  $\text{Tor}_i^A(I^{(n)}, k) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^A(I^{(n-1)}, k)$  are zero for all  $i \geq 0$ . These results allow us to establish formulas for the depth and the regularity of  $(I+J)^{(n)}$  and  $(I+J)^{(n)}/(I+J)^{(n+1)}$  in terms of those of  $I$  and  $J$ . Our approach has several interesting consequences on the equality between ordinary and symbolic powers, the Waldschmidt constant and the Cohen-Macaulayness of symbolic powers. In particular, we show that any convergent non-negative numerical function is the depth function of powers of a monomial ideal, which settles a conjecture of Herzog and Hibi.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring and let  $Q$  be an ideal in  $R$ . For an integer  $n \geq 1$ , the  $n$ -th *symbolic power* of  $Q$  is defined by

$$Q^{(n)} := R \cap \left( \bigcap_{P \in \text{Min}(Q)} Q^n R_P \right).$$

In other words,  $Q^{(n)}$  is the intersection of the primary components of  $Q^n$  associated to the minimal primes of  $Q$ .

When  $R$  is a polynomial ring over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and  $Q$  is a radical ideal, Nagata and Zariski showed that  $Q^{(n)}$  consists of polynomials in  $R$  whose partial derivatives of orders up to  $n-1$  vanish on the zero set of  $Q$  (see e.g. [10]). Therefore, symbolic powers of an ideal carry richer geometric structures and more subtle information than ordinary powers.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be commutative Noetherian algebras over an arbitrary field  $k$ . Let  $I \subseteq A$  and  $J \subseteq B$  be nonzero proper ideals. For convenience we also use  $I$  and  $J$  to denote the extensions of  $I$  and  $J$  in the algebra  $R := A \otimes_k B$ . The focus of this work is on symbolic powers of the sum  $I+J$ . Such sums of ideals appear naturally in various contexts:

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C05, 13C15, 13D02, 13D07, 14B05.

*Key words and phrases.* Symbolic power, sum of ideals, associated prime, tensor product, binomial expansion, depth, regularity, Tor-vanishing, depth function.

- *Fiber product of affine schemes:* Let  $X$  and let  $Y$  be the affine schemes  $\text{Spec}(A/I)$  and  $\text{Spec}(B/J)$ , then the fiber product  $X \times_k Y$  is the affine scheme  $\text{Spec}(R/I + J)$ ;
- *Join of simplicial complexes:* Let  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  be simplicial complexes over different vertex sets with Stanley-Reisner ideals  $I_\Delta$  and  $I_\Gamma$ , then  $I_\Delta + I_\Gamma$  is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the join complex  $\Delta \star \Gamma$ ;
- *Edge ideal of a graph:* Let  $I(G)$  denote the edge ideal of a simple graph  $G$ . If  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are the connected components of  $G$ , then  $I(G) = I(G_1) + \dots + I(G_n)$ .

Though symbolic powers have been studied extensively (see e.g. [2, 7, 8, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 26, 34, 35, 36]), symbolic powers of sums of ideals have not been considered in the above general setting.

The authors [16, 28] have recently established bounds and exact formulas for the depth and the regularity of the ordinary powers  $(I + J)^n$  in terms of those of  $I$  and  $J$ . These results relied on the binomial expansion  $(I + J)^n = \sum_{i+j=n} I^i J^j$ . Our first main result in this paper is the following binomial expansion for the symbolic power  $(I + J)^{(n)}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**  $(I + J)^{(n)} = \sum_{i+j=n} I^{(i)} J^{(j)}$ .

This binomial expansion has been previously known only for squarefree monomial ideals by Bocci et al [4]. It was even not known in the simple case where  $B = k[x]$  is a polynomial ring and  $J = (x)$  (and  $I$  is not necessarily squarefree).

Theorem 3.4 has a number of interesting consequences. For instance, we show that when  $A$  and  $B$  are local rings or domains,  $(I + J)^{(n)} = (I + J)^n$  if and only if  $I^{(t)} = I^t$  and  $J^{(t)} = J^t$  for all  $t \leq n$ , and that when  $I$  and  $J$  are homogeneous ideals in polynomial rings,  $\hat{\alpha}(I + J) = \min\{\hat{\alpha}(I), \hat{\alpha}(J)\}$ , where  $\hat{\alpha}(I)$  denotes the Waldschmidt constant of an ideal, which controls the minimal degree of a hypersurface that passes through the zeros of  $I$  with prescribed multiplicities [17, 38]. This formula for  $\hat{\alpha}(I + J)$  was known before only for squarefree monomial ideals [4].

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is based on a thorough study of tensor products of modules over  $A$  and  $B$ , which is of independent interest.

Using Theorem 3.4 we are able to study the depth and the regularity of the symbolic powers of  $I + J$ . Our main results on these invariants can be summarized as follows.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be polynomial rings over a field  $k$ . Let  $I \subseteq A$  and  $J \subseteq B$  be nonzero proper homogeneous ideals. Then*

$$(i) \text{ depth } R/(I + J)^{(n)} \geq$$

$$\min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \left\{ \text{depth } A/I^{(n-i)} + \text{depth } B/J^{(i)} + 1, \text{depth } A/I^{(n-j+1)} + \text{depth } B/J^{(j)} \right\},$$

$$(ii) \operatorname{reg} R/(I+J)^{(n)} \leq \max_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{ \operatorname{reg} A/I^{(n-i)} + \operatorname{reg} B/J^{(j)} + 1, \operatorname{reg} A/I^{(n-j+1)} + \operatorname{reg} B/J^{(j)} \}.$$

**Theorems 5.6 and 5.11.** *The inequalities of Theorem 4.6 are equalities if  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$  or if  $I$  and  $J$  are monomial ideals.*

We expect that the equalities hold regardless of the characteristic of the field  $k$ . These equalities are a distinctive feature of polynomial rings because they do not hold if the rings  $A$  and  $B$  are not polynomial rings.

Theorem 4.6 holds in a more general framework. Given two filtrations of ideals  $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $A$  and  $\{J_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $B$ , we prove similar bounds for the depth and the regularity of the binomial sum  $Q_n := \sum_{i+j=n} I_i J_j$ . This framework can be applied to several situations. For the filtrations of ordinary or symbolic powers of the ideals  $I$  and  $J$ , we have  $Q_n = (I+J)^n$  or  $Q_n = (I+J)^{(n)}$ , respectively. This explains why the above results are analogous to those for ordinary powers in [16, 28]. It would be of interest to study the binomial sum  $Q_n$  for the filtrations of the integral closure or of the saturation of powers of  $I$  and  $J$ .

We say that a filtration of ideals  $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is Tor-vanishing if the induced map  $\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(k, I_n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(k, I_{n-1})$  is zero for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 0$ . We can show that the obtained bounds for  $\operatorname{depth} R/Q_n$  and  $\operatorname{reg} R/Q_n$  become equalities if the filtrations  $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{J_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are Tor-vanishing. Theorems 5.6 and 5.11 follow from this result because it can be shown that the filtration of symbolic powers of a homogeneous ideal is Tor-vanishing if  $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$  or if the ideal is a monomial ideal. Using a result of Eisenbud and Mazur [11] we also exhibit ideals whose filtration of symbolic powers is not Tor-vanishing if  $\operatorname{char}(k) > 0$ . Our results on the Tor-vanishing of symbolic powers have their own interest because they can be used to study the relationship between the depth and the regularity of  $I^{(n)}$  and  $I^{(n-1)}$ .

Using the same approach we further obtain exact formulas for the depth and the regularity of the quotients  $(I+J)^{(n)}/(I+J)^{(n+1)}$ , that are independent of the characteristic of the field  $k$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be polynomial rings over a field  $k$ . Let  $I \subseteq A$  and  $J \subseteq B$  be nonzero proper homogeneous ideals. Then*

$$(i) \operatorname{depth}(I+J)^{(n)}/(I+J)^{(n+1)} = \min_{i+j=n} \{ \operatorname{depth} I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)} + \operatorname{depth} J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)} \},$$

$$(ii) \operatorname{reg}(I+J)^{(n)}/(I+J)^{(n+1)} = \max_{i+j=n} \{ \operatorname{reg} I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)} + \operatorname{reg} J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)} \}.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 4.7, we show that  $R/(I+J)^{(i)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n$  if and only if  $A/I^{(i)}$  and  $B/J^{(i)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n$ .

For an ideal  $Q$  in a local ring  $S$  we call  $\operatorname{depth} S/Q^n$  the depth function of  $Q$ . Our approach also allows us to show that the depth function of an ideal can be arbitrary in the following sense.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $f(n)$  be any convergent non-negative numerical function. Then there exists a monomial ideal  $Q$  such that  $f(n)$  is the depth function of  $Q$ .*

Note that the depth function of any ideal has to be convergent by a classical result of Brodmann [6]. Theorem 6.7 was posed as a conjecture by Herzog and Hibi in [19]. It was known before only for non-decreasing functions [19] and for some special classes of non-increasing functions [16, 24]. Examples of monomial ideals with non-monotone depth functions were rare [3, 19, 27].

Theorem 6.7 has interesting applications on the associated primes of powers of ideals. For an arbitrary ideal  $Q$  in a Noetherian ring  $S$ , we denote by  $\text{Ass}(Q)$  the set of the associated primes of  $Q$ . In 1983, Ratliff [30, 31] asked the following questions, which have remained unsolved till now.

- (1) Let  $P \supset Q$  be a prime ideal such that  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^m)$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large. Is  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  for all  $n \geq m$ ?
- (2) Given a finite set  $\Gamma$  of positive integers, do there exist a Noetherian ring  $S$  and ideals  $P \supset Q$  in  $S$ , where  $P$  is a prime ideal such that  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  if and only if  $n \in \Gamma$ ?

Using Theorem 6.7 we give a negative answer to (1) and a positive answer to (2).

Inspired by the proof of Theorem 6.7 we raise the question of whether for any convergent positive numerical function  $f(n)$ , there exists a monomial ideal  $Q$  such that  $f(n)$  is the depth function of the symbolic powers of  $Q$ .

Our paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we study the associated primes of tensor products of modules. In Section 3 we prove the binomial expansion of  $(I + J)^{(n)}$ . In Section 4, we present bounds for the depth and the regularity of  $R/(I + J)^{(n)}$  and the exact formulas for the depth and the regularity of  $(I + J)^{(n-1)}/(I + J)^{(n)}$  in terms of those of  $I$  and  $J$ . In Section 5 we use the technique of Tor-vanishing to study the problem when the obtained bounds for the depth and the regularity of  $R/(I + J)^{(n)}$  become exact formulas. Section 6 deals with the depth functions of an ideal.

We assume that the reader is familiar with basic properties of associated primes, depth and regularity, which we use without references. For other unexplained notions and terminology, we refer the reader to [9].

**Acknowledgement.** The first named author is partially supported by the Simons Foundation (grant #279786). The second named author is supported by a Marie Curie fellowship of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica. The last named author is partially supported by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development under grant number 101.04-2015.02. Part of this work was done during a research stay of the authors at Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics. The authors would like to thank Aldo Conca and Jürgen Herzog for useful discussions and Takayuki Hibi for drawing our attention to the preprint [24].

## 2. ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF TENSOR PRODUCTS

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two Noetherian algebras over a field  $k$  such that  $R := A \otimes_k B$  is Noetherian. For our investigation on the symbolic powers of sums of ideals, we need to know the associated primes of  $R$ -modules of the form  $M \otimes_k N$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are nonzero finitely generated modules over  $A$  and  $B$ .

Let  $\text{Min}_A(M)$  and  $\text{Ass}_A(M)$  denote the sets of minimal associated primes and associated primes of  $M$  as an  $A$ -module, respectively. The aim of this section is to describe  $\text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  and  $\text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  in terms of those of  $M$  and  $N$ .

We begin with the following observations.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap A$ , and  $\mathfrak{q} = P \cap B$ . Then*

- (i)  $P \in \text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_A(M)$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}_B(N)$  and  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ ;
- (ii)  $P \in \text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(M)$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_B(N)$  and  $P \in \text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $(M \otimes_k N)_P = M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} (A \otimes_k N)_P$ . Since the map  $A \rightarrow R$  is flat, the map  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R_P$  is also flat. Applying [15, Chap. IV, (6.1.2)], we have

$$\dim(M \otimes_k N)_P = \dim M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} (A \otimes_k N)_P,$$

where  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the residue field of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $k(\mathfrak{p}) = (A/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we have  $((A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k N)_P = k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} (A \otimes_k N)_P$ . Therefore,

$$\dim(M \otimes_k N)_P = \dim M_{\mathfrak{p}} + \dim((A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k N)_P.$$

Since the map  $B \rightarrow R$  is flat, we can also show that

$$\dim((A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k N)_P = \dim N_{\mathfrak{q}} + \dim((A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q}))_P.$$

Note that  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q}) = R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ . From the above equalities we get  $\dim(M \otimes_k N)_P = 0$  if and only if  $\dim M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim N_{\mathfrak{q}} = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})_P = 0$ . For an arbitrary module  $R$ -module  $E$ , we know that  $P \in \text{Min}_R(E)$  if and only if  $\dim E_P = 0$ . Therefore,  $P \in \text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_A(M)$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}_B(N)$  and  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ .

Similarly, we can apply [15, Chap. IV, (6.3.1)] to show that  $\text{depth}(M \otimes_k N)_P = 0$  if and only if  $\text{depth} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{depth} N_{\mathfrak{q}} = \text{depth}(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})_P = 0$ . We also know that  $P \in \text{Ass}_R(E)$  if and only if  $\text{depth} E_P = 0$ . Therefore,  $P \in \text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(M)$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_B(N)$  and  $P \in \text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** We need the assumption on the Noetherian property of  $A \otimes_k B$  for applying [15, Chap. IV, (6.1.2) and (6.3.1)]. In general,  $A \otimes_k B$  is not necessarily Noetherian, even when  $A$  and  $B$  are field extensions of  $k$ . For more information on this topic see [37].

Notice that  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$  is not necessarily a prime or even a primary ideal as illustrated by the following example.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{p} := (x^2 + 1) \subset A = \mathbb{Q}[x]$  and  $\mathfrak{q} := (y^2 + 1) \subset B = \mathbb{Q}[y]$ . Both  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are prime ideals. However,  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} = (x^2 + 1, y^2 + 1)$  is not primary in  $R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  because  $x^2 - y^2 = (x + y)(x - y) \in \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ .

The second part of the following result shows that we always have

$$\text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) = \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  be prime ideals of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Let  $P \in \text{Ass}(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ . Then*

- (i)  $P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $P \cap B = \mathfrak{q}$ ,
- (ii)  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} = (A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q})$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 (ii) to  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q})$  we obtain  $P \cap A \in \text{Ass}_A(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  and  $P \cap B \in \text{Ass}_B(B/\mathfrak{q}) = \{\mathfrak{q}\}$ , which implies (i).

Let  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $k(\mathfrak{q})$  denote the residue fields of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Because of (i) we can consider  $((A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q}))_P$  as a localisation of the algebra  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q})$  at a prime ideal  $P'$ . Since  $P$  is an associated prime of  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q})$ ,  $P'$  is an associated prime of  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q})$ . By [29, Theorem 3],  $(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q}))_{P'}$  is a primary ring, i.e. any of its zerodivisors is a nilpotent element. From this it follows that  $P'$  is a minimal associated prime of  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q})$ . Hence,  $P$  must be a minimal associated prime of  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q})$ , which proves (ii).  $\square$

Now we can describe the associated and the minimal associated primes of  $M \otimes_k N$  in terms of  $M$  and  $N$  as follows. This description gives more precise information on  $\text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  than [32, Corollary 3.7(1)].

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be nonzero modules over  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Then*

- (i)  $\text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N) = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_A(M) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}_B(N)}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$
- (ii)  $\text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N) = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(M) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_B(N)}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N) &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_A(M) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}_B(N)}} \{P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) \mid P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}, P \cap B = \mathfrak{q}\}. \\ \text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N) &= \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(M) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_B(N)}} \{P \in \text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) \mid P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}, P \cap B = \mathfrak{q}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have  $P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $P \cap B = \mathfrak{q}$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$  and  $\text{Ass}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) = \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ . Hence, we can rewrite the above formulas as in the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

The following immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5 is a generalization of a classical result of Seidenberg on unmixed polynomial ideals under base field extensions in [33].

**Corollary 2.6.**  $\text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N) = \text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N)$  if and only if  $\text{Ass}_A(M) = \text{Min}_A(M)$  and  $\text{Ass}_B(N) = \text{Min}_B(N)$ .

One may ask when is the sum  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$  of two prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \subset B$  a prime ideal in  $R$ ? This question has the following simple answer.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $k(\mathfrak{q})$  denote the fields of fractions of  $A/\mathfrak{p}$  and  $B/\mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$  is a prime ideal if and only if  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q})$  is a domain.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$  be a prime ideal. Then  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q}) = R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$  is a domain. Since  $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q})$  is a localisation of  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q})$ , it must be a domain, too. The converse is true since we have an injection  $(A/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k (B/\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_k k(\mathfrak{q})$ .  $\square$

By [39, Corollary 1, p. 198], the tensor product of two field extensions of  $k$  is a domain if  $k$  is algebraically closed. In this case, Theorem 2.5 can be reformulated as follows.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. Then

- (i)  $\text{Ass}_R(M \otimes_k N) = \{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(M) \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_B(N)\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Min}_R(M \otimes_k N) = \{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_A(M) \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}_B(N)\}$ .

### 3. BINOMIAL EXPANSION OF SYMBOLIC POWERS

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two commutative Noetherian algebras over a field  $k$  such that  $R = A \otimes_k B$  is also a Noetherian ring. Let  $I$  and  $J$  be nonzero proper ideals of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. We will use the same symbols  $I, J$  for the extensions of  $I, J$  in  $R$ . The aim of this section is to prove that the symbolic power  $(I + J)^{(n)}$  has a binomial expansion.

We shall need the following observations.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $I \cap J = IJ$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two sets of elements of  $A$  and  $B$ . We denote by  $V \otimes W$  the set of the elements  $f \otimes g$ ,  $f \in V$  and  $g \in W$ . Choose bases  $V$  and  $W$  of the  $k$ -vector spaces  $I$  and  $J$  and extend them to bases  $V^*$  and  $W^*$  of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Then  $V \otimes W^*$  and  $V^* \otimes W$  are bases of the  $k$ -vector spaces  $I \otimes_k B$  and  $A \otimes_k J$ , respectively. Since  $V \otimes W^*$  and  $V^* \otimes W$  are subsets of  $V^* \otimes W^*$ , which is a basis of  $A \otimes_k B$ , the vector space  $I \cap J = (I \otimes_k B) \cap (A \otimes_k J)$  is generated by the set  $(V \otimes W^*) \cap (V^* \otimes W) = V \otimes W$ . Since  $IJ = I \otimes_k J$  is also generated by  $V \otimes W$ , we conclude that  $I \cap J = IJ$ .  $\square$

Lemma 3.1 was known before for polynomial ideals [21, Lemma 1.1].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $I'$  and  $J'$  be subideals of  $I$  and  $J$ , respectively. Then

$$(I/I') \otimes_k (J/J') \cong IJ/(IJ' + I'J).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} (I/I') \otimes_k (J/J') &\cong ((I \otimes_k J)/(I \otimes_k J')) / ((I' \otimes_k J)/(I' \otimes_k J')) \\ &\cong (IJ/IJ') / (I'J/I'J'). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1,  $I'J' = I' \cap J' = I'J \cap J' = I'J \cap IJ'$ . From this we obtain

$$(I/I') \otimes_k (J/J') \cong (IJ/IJ') / ((IJ' + I'J)/IJ') \cong IJ/(IJ' + IJ').$$

□

We will consider binomial sums of filtrations of ideals, which is defined as follows.

For convenience, we call a sequence of ideals  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $R$  a *filtration* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $Q_0 = R$ ,
- (2)  $Q_1$  is a nonzero proper ideal,
- (3)  $Q_n \supseteq Q_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Examples of such filtrations are the ordinary powers  $\{Q^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , the symbolic powers  $\{Q^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , and the integral closures of powers  $\{\overline{Q^n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , where  $Q$  is a nonzero proper ideal.

Let  $\{I_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  be two filtrations of ideals in  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. For each  $n \geq 0$ , we define

$$Q_n := \sum_{i+j=n} I_i J_j.$$

We call  $Q_n$  the  $n$ -th *binomial sum* of the filtrations  $\{I_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ .

Our next result shows that quotients of successive binomial sums have a nice decomposition.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , there is an isomorphism*

$$Q_n/Q_{n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (I_i/I_{i+1} \otimes_k J_{n-i}/J_{n-i+1}).$$

*Proof.* First, we will show that

$$Q_n/Q_{n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n ((I_i J_{n-i} + Q_{n+1})/Q_{n+1}).$$

For that it suffices to show that for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$(I_i J_{n-i} + Q_{n+1}) \cap \left( \sum_{j \neq i} I_j J_{n-j} + Q_{n+1} \right) \subseteq Q_{n+1}$$

or, equivalently,

$$I_i J_{n-i} \cap \left( \sum_{j \neq i} I_j J_{n-j} + Q_{n+1} \right) \subseteq Q_{n+1}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{j \neq i} I_j J_{n-j} + Q_{n+1} = \sum_{j \neq i} I_j J_{n-j} + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n+1} I_j J_{n-j+1} \subseteq J_{n-i+1} + I_{i+1}$$

because  $J_{n-j} \subseteq J_{n-i+1}$  for  $j < i$  and  $I_j \subseteq I_{i+1}$  for  $j \geq i+1$ . On the other hand, every element of  $J_{n-i+1} + I_{i+1}$  in  $I_i J_{n-i}$  is a sum of two elements, one in  $J_{n-i+1} \cap (I_{i+1} + I_i J_{n-i})$  and the other in  $I_{i+1} \cap (J_{n-i+1} + I_i J_{n-i})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & I_i J_{n-i} \cap \left( \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} I_j J_{n-j} + Q_{n+1} \right) \\ & \subseteq J_{n-i+1} \cap (I_{i+1} + I_i J_{n-i}) + I_{i+1} \cap (J_{n-i+1} + I_i J_{n-i}) \\ & \subseteq J_{n-i+1} \cap I_i + I_{i+1} \cap J_{n-i} = I_{i+1} J_{n-i} + I_i J_{n-i+1} \subseteq Q_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality holds thanks to Lemma 3.1.

The above inclusions also show that  $I_i J_{n-i} \cap Q_{n+1} = I_{i+1} J_{n-i} + I_i J_{n-i+1}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (I_i J_{n-i} + Q_{n+1})/Q_{n+1} & \cong I_i J_{n-i}/(Q_{n+1} \cap I_i J_{n-i}) \\ & \cong I_i J_{n-i}/(I_{i+1} J_{n-i} + I_i J_{n-i+1}) \\ & \cong (I_i/I_{i+1}) \otimes_k (J_{n-i}/J_{n-i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.2. Therefore,

$$Q_n/Q_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n ((I_i J_{n-i} + Q_{n+1})/Q_{n+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (I_i/I_{i+1}) \otimes_k (J_{n-i}/J_{n-i+1}).$$

□

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.4.**  $(I + J)^{(n)} = \sum_{i+j=n} I^{(i)} J^{(j)}.$

*Proof.* Consider the symbolic filtrations  $\{I^{(i)}\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J^{(j)}\}_{j \geq 0}$ . Then their  $n$ -th binomial sum is  $Q_n = \sum_{i+j=n} I^{(i)} J^{(j)}$ .

We shall first prove the inclusion  $(I + J)^{(n)} \subseteq Q_n$ . For that we need to investigate the associated primes of  $R/Q_n$ . It follows from the short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow Q_{t-1}/Q_t \rightarrow R/Q_t \rightarrow R/Q_{t-1} \rightarrow 0$  that

$$\text{Ass}_R(R/Q_n) \subseteq \bigcup_{t=1}^n \text{Ass}_R(Q_{t-1}/Q_t).$$

By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\text{Ass}_R(Q_{t-1}/Q_t) = \bigcup_{i+j=t-1} \text{Ass}_R(I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)} \otimes_k J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)}).$$

By Theorem 2.5(ii), we have

$$\text{Ass}_R(I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)} \otimes_k J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)}) = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_A(I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}_B(J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)})}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$$

Since  $I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}$  and  $J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)}$  are ideals of  $A/I^{(i+1)}$  and  $B/J^{(j+1)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}_A(I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}) &\subseteq \text{Ass}_A(A/I^{(i+1)}) = \text{Min}_A(A/I^{(i+1)}) = \text{Min}_A(A/I), \\ \text{Ass}_B(J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)}) &\subseteq \text{Ass}_B(B/J^{(j+1)}) = \text{Min}_B(B/J^{(j+1)}) = \text{Min}_B(B/J). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R/I + J = (A/I) \otimes_k (B/J)$ , it follows from Theorem 2.5(i) that

$$\text{Min}_R(R/I + J) = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}_A(A/I) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}_B(B/J)}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$$

Therefore,  $\text{Ass}_R(I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)} \otimes_k J^{(j)}/J^{(j+1)}) \subseteq \text{Min}_R(R/I + J)$ . Hence, we get

$$\text{Ass}_R(R/Q_n) \subseteq \text{Min}_R(R/I + J) = \text{Min}_R(R/(I + J)^n).$$

This shows that every associated prime of  $Q_n$  is a minimal associated prime of  $(I + J)^n$ . Since  $Q_n \supseteq \sum_{i+j=n} I^i J^j = (I + J)^n$ , it follows from the definition of symbolic powers that every primary component of  $Q_n$  contains a primary component of  $(I + J)^{(n)}$ . Therefore,  $Q_n \supseteq (I + J)^{(n)}$ .

Now, we shall prove the converse inclusion  $(I + J)^{(n)} \supseteq Q_n$ . Let  $P$  be an arbitrary minimal associated prime of  $(I + J)^n$ . Then  $P$  is a minimal associated prime of  $R/I + J = (A/I) \otimes_k (B/J)$ . Set  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap A$  and  $\mathfrak{q} = P \cap B$ . By Lemma 2.1(i),  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are minimal associated primes of  $I$  and  $J$ . Therefore,  $(I^{(i)})_{\mathfrak{p}} = (I^i)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $(J^{(j)})_{\mathfrak{q}} = (J^j)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for all  $i, j \geq 0$ . This implies that

$$(I^{(i)})_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_k (J^{(j)})_{\mathfrak{q}} = (I^i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_k (J^j)_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Since  $(I^{(i)} \otimes J^{(j)})_P$  and  $(I^i \otimes J^j)_P$  are localizations of  $(I^{(i)})_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_k (J^{(j)})_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and  $(I^i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_k (J^j)_{\mathfrak{q}}$  at a prime ideal of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we get

$$(I^{(i)} J^{(j)})_P = (I^{(i)} \otimes_k J^{(j)})_P = (I^i \otimes J^j)_P = (I^i J^j)_P$$

for all  $i, j \geq 0$ . Thus,

$$(Q_n)_P = \sum_{i+j=n} (I^{(i)} J^{(j)})_P = \sum_{i+j=n} (I^i J^j)_P = (I + J)_P^n.$$

This shows that every primary component of  $(I + J)^{(n)}$  contains a primary component of  $Q_n$ . Hence,  $(I + J)^{(n)} \supseteq Q_n$ .  $\square$

Theorem 3.4 extends a result on squarefree monomial ideals of Bocci et al [4, Theorem 7.8] to arbitrary ideals. It will play a key role in our investigation on invariants of  $(I + J)^{(n)}$  in the next sections.

Moreover, Theorem 3.4 yields the following criterion for the equality of symbolic and ordinary powers of  $I + J$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Assume that  $I^t \neq I^{t+1}$  and  $J^t \neq J^{t+1}$  for all  $t \leq n-1$ . Then  $(I+J)^{(n)} = (I+J)^n$  if and only if  $I^{(t)} = I^t$  and  $J^{(t)} = J^t$  for all  $t \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $I^{(t)} = I^t$  and  $J^{(t)} = J^t$  for all  $t \leq n$ . By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$(I+J)^{(n)} = \sum_{i+j=n} I^{(i)} J^{(j)} = \sum_{i+j=n} I^i J^j = (I+J)^n.$$

Conversely, assume that  $(I+J)^{(n)} = (I+J)^n$ . Since  $(I+J)^{n-1}/(I+J)^n \subseteq R/(I+J)^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}_R((I+J)^{n-1}/(I+J)^n) &\subseteq \text{Ass}_R(R/(I+J)^n) = \text{Min}_R(R/(I+J)^n) \\ &= \text{Min}_R(R/(I+J)) = \text{Min}_R((A/I) \otimes_k (B/J)). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.3, we have

$$(I+J)^{n-1}/(I+J)^n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n-1} (I^i/I^{i+1}) \otimes_k (J^j/J^{j+1}).$$

Hence,  $\text{Ass}_R((I+J)^{n-1}/(I+J)^n) = \bigcup_{i+j=n-1} \text{Ass}_R((I^i/I^{i+1}) \otimes_k (J^j/J^{j+1}))$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Ass}_R((I^i/I^{i+1}) \otimes_k (J^j/J^{j+1})) \subseteq \text{Min}_R((A/I) \otimes_k (B/J)).$$

Since  $I^i \neq I^{i+1}$  and  $J^j \neq J^{j+1}$ , we can apply Theorem 2.5 to get  $\text{Ass}_A(I^i/I^{i+1}) \subseteq \text{Min}_A(A/I)$  for  $i \leq n-1$ . For  $t \leq n$  and  $i \leq t-1$ , we use the short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow I^i/I^{i+1} \rightarrow A/I^{i+1} \rightarrow A/I^i \rightarrow 0$  to obtain

$$\text{Ass}_A(A/I^t) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \text{Ass}_A(I^i/I^{i+1}) \subseteq \text{Min}_A(A/I).$$

This implies that  $\text{Ass}_A(A/I^t) = \text{Min}_A(A/I)$ . Hence,  $I^{(t)} = I^t$  for all  $t \leq n$ . Similarly, we can show that  $J^{(t)} = J^t$  for all  $t \leq n$ .  $\square$

It is easy to see that the assumption of Corollary 3.5 is satisfied if  $A$  and  $B$  are local rings or domains. The following example shows that Corollary 3.5 does not hold if we remove the assumption that  $I^t \neq I^{t+1}$  and  $J^t \neq J^{t+1}$  for all  $t \leq n-1$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $A = k[x]/(x-x^2)$  and  $I = xA$ . Then  $I^2 = I$ . Let  $B = k[y, z, t]$  and  $J = (y^4, y^3z, yz^3, z^4, y^2z^2t)$ . Then  $J^{(1)} = (y, z)^4 \neq J$  and  $J^{(2)} = J^2 = (y, z)^8$ . However,  $(I+J)^{(2)} = (I+J)^2 = (x, (y, z)^8)R$ .

**Remark 3.7.** Corollary 3.5 shows that if  $(I+J)^{(n)} = (I+J)^n$  then  $(I+J)^{(t)} = (I+J)^t$  for all  $t \leq n-1$ . However, for an arbitrary ideal  $Q$  in a polynomial ring,  $Q^{(n)} = Q^n$  does not imply  $Q^{(t)} = Q^t$  for all  $t \leq n-1$ . The ideal  $J$  in the above example is such a case.

We end this section by giving another interesting application of Theorem 3.4. Recall that for a nonzero proper homogeneous ideal  $I$ ,  $\alpha(I) := \min\{d \mid I_d \neq 0\}$

is the smallest degree of a nonzero element in  $I$ , and the *Waldschmidt constant* of  $I$  is defined by

$$\hat{\alpha}(I) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(I^{(m)})}{m}.$$

This limit exists and was first investigated by Waldschmidt in complex analysis [38]. Since then, it has appeared in different areas of mathematics, e.g., in number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra [17]. The following consequence of Theorem 3.4 extends a result on the Waldschmidt constant of squarefree monomial ideals [4, Corollary 7.10] to arbitrary homogeneous ideals.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be standard graded polynomial rings over  $k$ . Let  $I \subset A$  and  $J \subset B$  be nonzero proper homogeneous ideals. Then*

$$\hat{\alpha}(I + J) = \min\{\hat{\alpha}(I), \hat{\alpha}(J)\}.$$

*Proof.* The proof goes in the same line of arguments as that of [4, Corollary 7.10] replacing [4, Theorem 7.8] by our more general statement in Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

#### 4. DEPTH AND REGULARITY OF BINOMIAL SUMS

Throughout this section, let  $A = k[X]$  and  $B = k[Y]$  be polynomial rings over an arbitrary field  $k$  in different sets of variables. Then  $R := A \otimes_k B = k[X, Y]$ . If  $I \subset A$  and  $J \subset B$  are homogeneous ideal, then their extensions in  $R$  are also homogeneous. As before, we shall also denote these ideals by  $I$  and  $J$ .

We shall need the following results of Hoa and Tam in [21].

**Lemma 4.1.** [21, Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2] *Let  $I \subseteq A$  and  $J \subseteq B$  be nonzero proper homogeneous ideals. Then*

- (i)  $\text{depth } R/IJ = \text{depth } A/I + \text{depth } B/J + 1.$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } R/IJ = \text{reg } A/I + \text{reg } B/J + 1.$

Let  $\{I_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  be filtrations of homogeneous ideals in  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively. Recall that the ideal

$$Q_n := \sum_{i+j=n} I_i J_j$$

is called the  $n$ -th binomial sum of these filtrations. The aim of this section is to give bounds for the depth and the regularity of  $R/Q_n$  in terms of those of  $I_i$  and  $J_j$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

- (i)  $\text{depth } R/Q_n \geq$   
 $\min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{\text{depth } A/I_{n-i} + \text{depth } B/J_i + 1, \text{depth } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{depth } B/J_j\},$

(ii)  $\text{reg } R/Q_n \leq$

$$\max_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{ \text{reg } A/I_{n-i} + \text{reg } B/J_i + 1, \text{reg } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{reg } B/J_j \}.$$

*Proof.* We shall only prove the bound for depth. The bound for regularity can be obtained in the same fashion.

For  $t = 0, \dots, n$ , set

$$P_{n,t} := I_n J_0 + I_{n-1} J_1 + \dots + I_{n-t} J_t.$$

Then  $P_{n,t} = P_{n,t-1} + I_{n-t} J_t$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . Since  $P_{n,t-1} \subseteq I_{n-t+1}$ , we have  $P_{n,t-1} \cap I_{n-t} J_t \subseteq I_{n-t+1} \cap J_t = I_{n-t+1} J_t$  by Lemma 3.1. On the other hand,  $I_{n-t+1} J_t \subseteq I_{n-t+1} J_{t-1} \subseteq P_{n,t-1}$  and  $I_{n-t+1} J_t \subseteq I_{n-t} J_t$ . This implies that  $I_{n-t+1} J_t \subseteq P_{n,t-1} \cap I_{n-t} J_t$ . Therefore,

$$P_{n,t-1} \cap I_{n-t} J_t = I_{n-t+1} J_t.$$

Hence, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R/I_{n-t+1} J_t \longrightarrow (R/P_{n,t-1}) \oplus (R/I_{n-t} J_t) \longrightarrow R/P_{n,t} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{depth } R/P_{n,t} \geq \min \{ \text{depth } R/P_{n,t-1}, \text{depth } R/I_{n-t} J_t, \text{depth } R/I_{n-t+1} J_t - 1 \}.$$

We will use these bounds to successively estimate  $\text{depth } R/Q_n$  as follows.

For  $t = n$ , we have  $P_{n,n} = Q_n$ . Since  $I_0 J_n = J_n$ , we have  $\text{depth } R/I_0 J_n = \dim A + \text{depth } B/J_n$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 to the product  $I_1 J_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/Q_n &\geq \\ \min \{ \text{depth } R/P_{n,n-1}, \dim A + \text{depth } B/J_n, \text{depth } A/I_1 + \text{depth } B/J_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t = n-1, \dots, 2$ , by applying Lemma 4.1 to the products  $I_{n-t} J_t$  and  $I_{n-t+1} J_t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/P_{n,t} &\geq \\ \min \{ \text{depth } R/P_{n,t-1}, \text{depth } A/I_{n-t} + \text{depth } B/J_t + 1, \text{depth } A/I_{n-t+1} + \text{depth } B/J_t \}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t = 1$ , we have  $\text{depth } R/P_{n,0} = \text{depth } A/I_n + \dim B$  because  $P_{n,0} = I_n J_0 = I_n$ . Applying Lemma 4.1 to the product  $I_n J_1$  now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/P_{n,1} &\geq \\ \min \{ \text{depth } A/I_n + \dim B, \text{depth } A/I_{n-1} + \text{depth } B/J_1 + 1, \text{depth } A/I_n + \text{depth } B/J_1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting all these estimates for  $\text{depth } R/P_{n,t}$  together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/Q_n &\geq \min \{ \dim A + \text{depth } B/J_n, \text{depth } A/I_n + \dim B, \\ &\min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{ \text{depth } A/I_{n-i} + \text{depth } B/J_i + 1, \text{depth } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{depth } B/J_j \} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I_1 \neq (0)$ , we have

$$\dim A + \text{depth } B/J_n > \text{depth } A/I_1 + \text{depth } B/J_n.$$

Since  $J_1 \neq (0)$ , we have

$$\text{depth } A/I_n + \dim B > \text{depth } A/I_n + \text{depth } B/J_1.$$

The right-hand sides of the above inequalities are  $\text{depth } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{depth } B/J_j$  for  $j = n, 1$ . Hence, we can remove the terms  $\dim A + \text{depth } B/J_n$  and  $\text{depth } A/I_n + \dim B$  from the estimate for  $\text{depth } R/Q_n$  to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/Q_n &\geq \\ \min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} &\{ \text{depth } A/I_{n-i} + \text{depth } B/J_i + 1, \text{depth } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{depth } B/J_j \}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 4.3.** Let  $I \subset A$  and  $J \subset B$  be nonzero homogeneous ideals. If  $I_i = I^i$  and  $J_j = J^j$  for all  $i, j \geq 0$ , we have  $Q_n = (I + J)^n$ . In this case, Theorem 4.2 recovers a main result of our previous work on depth and regularity of ordinary powers [16, Theorem 2.4]. As pointed out in [16, Propositions 2.6 and 2.7], both terms on the right-hand side of these bounds are essential (i.e., are attainable). Hence, this is also the case for the two terms on the right-hand side of the bounds of Theorem 4.2.

If we consider the quotients  $Q_n/Q_{n+1}$  instead of the quotient rings  $R/Q_n$ , we can compute their depth and regularity explicitly in terms of those of quotients of successive  $I_i$ 's and  $J_j$ 's.

**Theorem 4.4.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

- (i)  $\text{depth } Q_n/Q_{n+1} = \min_{i+j=n} \{ \text{depth } I_i/I_{i+1} + \text{depth } J_j/J_{j+1} \},$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } Q_n/Q_{n+1} = \max_{i+j=n} \{ \text{reg } I_i/I_{i+1} + \text{reg } J_j/J_{j+1} \}.$

*Proof.* Proposition 3.3 gives

$$Q_n/Q_{n+1} = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} (I_i/I_{i+1}) \otimes_k (J_j/J_{j+1}).$$

The desired conclusion now follows from [16, Lemma 2.5], which expresses the depth and the regularity of a tensor product over  $k$  in terms of those of the components. □

As a consequence of Theorem 4.4, we obtain bounds for the depth and the regularity of  $R/Q_n$  in terms of those of quotients of successive  $I_i$ 's and  $J_j$ 's.

**Corollary 4.5.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

- (i)  $\text{depth } R/Q_n \geq \min_{i+j \leq n-1} \{ \text{depth } I_i/I_{i+1} + \text{depth } J_j/J_{j+1} \},$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } R/Q_n \leq \max_{i+j \leq n-1} \{ \text{reg } I_i/I_{i+1} + \text{reg } J_j/J_{j+1} \}.$

*Proof.* Using the short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Q_t/Q_{t+1} \rightarrow R/Q_{t+1} \rightarrow R/Q_t \rightarrow 0,$$

for  $t \leq n-1$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/Q_n &\geq \min_{t \leq n-1} \text{depth } Q_t/Q_{t+1}, \\ \text{reg } R/Q_n &\leq \max_{t \leq n-1} \text{reg } Q_t/Q_{t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the assertions follow from Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

If  $\{I_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  are the filtrations of symbolic powers of two nonzero homogeneous ideals  $I \subset A$  and  $J \subset B$ , then  $Q_n = (I + J)^{(n)}$  by Theorem 3.4. For the sake of applications, we reformulate Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.4 in this case.

**Theorem 4.6.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \text{depth } R/(I + J)^{(n)} \geq \\ & \min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{ \text{depth } A/I^{(n-i)} + \text{depth } B/J^{(j)} + 1, \text{depth } A/I^{(n-j+1)} + \text{depth } B/J^{(j)} \}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \text{reg } R/(I + J)^{(n)} \leq \\ & \max_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{ \text{reg } A/I^{(n-i)} + \text{reg } B/J^{(j)} + 1, \text{reg } A/I^{(n-j+1)} + \text{reg } B/J^{(j)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall see in the next section that the inequalities of Theorem 4.6 are in fact equalities if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  or if  $I$  and  $J$  are monomial ideals.

**Theorem 4.7.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \text{depth}(I + J)^{(n)} / (I + J)^{(n+1)} = \min_{i+j=n} \{ \text{depth } I^{(i)} / I^{(i+1)} + \text{depth } J^{(j)} / J^{(j+1)} \}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \text{reg}(I + J)^{(n)} / (I + J)^{(n+1)} = \max_{i+j=n} \{ \text{reg } I^{(i)} / I^{(i+1)} + \text{reg } J^{(j)} / J^{(j+1)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.7 we obtain the following criterion for the Cohen-Macaulayness of  $R/(I + J)^{(n)}$ . Recall that a finite graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is Cohen-Macaulay if  $\text{depth } M = \dim M$ .

**Corollary 4.8.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(I + J)^{(n-1)} / (I + J)^{(n)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay,
- (ii)  $R/(I + J)^{(i)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n$ ,
- (iii)  $A/I^{(i)}$  and  $B/J^{(i)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n$ ,
- (iv)  $I^{(i)} / I^{(i+1)}$  and  $J^{(i)} / J^{(i+1)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n-1$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$\dim(I + J)^{(n-1)} / (I + J)^{(n)} \leq \dim R/(I + J)^{(n)} = \dim R/(I + J).$$

For any prime  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/(I+J))$ , we have

$$((I+J)^{(n-1)})/(I+J)^{(n)}_P = ((I+J)^{n-1}/(I+J)^n)_P = 0$$

if and only if  $((I+J)^{n-1})_P = 0$  by Nakayama's lemma. But this could not happen because  $R$  is a domain and  $I+J \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\dim R/(I+J) \leq \dim(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)}$ . From this it follows that

$$\dim(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)} = \dim R/(I+J) = \dim A/I + \dim B/J.$$

Similarly, we also have  $\dim I^{(i-1)}/I^{(i)} = \dim A/I$  and  $\dim J^{(j-1)}/J^{(j)} = \dim B/J$  for all  $i, j \geq 1$ .

Since  $\dim(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)} = \dim I^i/I^{(i+1)} + \dim J^{(i)}/J^{(i+1)}$  for all  $n, i, j \geq 1$ , using Theorem 4.7(i) we can show that

$$\text{depth}(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)} = \dim(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)}$$

if and only if  $\text{depth } I^i/I^{(i+1)} = \dim I^i/I^{(i+1)}$  and  $\text{depth } J^{(i)}/J^{(i+1)} = \dim J^{(i)}/J^{(i+1)}$  for all  $i, j \leq n-1$ . Thus,  $(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}$  and  $J^{(i)}/J^{(i+1)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n-1$ . From this it follows that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv). In particular, (i) implies that  $(I+J)^{(i)}/(I+J)^{(i+1)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n-1$ .

Note that a graded  $R$ -module  $M$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(M) = 0$  for  $t < \dim M$ , where  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(M)$  denotes the  $t$ -th local cohomology module of  $M$  with respect to the maximal homogeneous ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Using this characterization and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (I+J)^{(i)}/(I+J)^{(i+1)} \rightarrow R/(I+J)^{(i+1)} \rightarrow R/(I+J)^{(i)} \rightarrow 0$$

it follows that  $R/(I+J)^{(i)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n$  if and only if  $(I+J)^{(i)}/(I+J)^{(i+1)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n-1$ . This proves the implication (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii).

Similarly,  $A/I^{(i)}$  and  $B/J^{(i)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n$  if and only if  $I^{(i)}/I^{(i+1)}$  and  $J^{(i)}/J^{(i+1)}$  are Cohen-Macaulay for all  $i \leq n-1$ . This proves the equivalence (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv).  $\square$

The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is remarkable in the sense that the Cohen-Macaulayness of  $(I+J)^{(n-1)}/(I+J)^{(n)}$  alone implies the Cohen-Macaulayness of  $R/(I+J)^{(t)}$  for all  $t \leq n$ . The following example shows that this implication does not hold if we replace  $I+J$  by an arbitrary homogeneous ideal.

**Example 4.9.** Take  $A = k[x, y, z, t]$  and  $I = (x^5, x^4y, xy^4, y^5, x^2y^2(xz+yt), x^3y^3)$ . Then  $I$  is a  $(x, y)$ -primary ideal,  $\dim A/I = 2$  and  $I^{(1)} = I$ . It is clear that  $z$  is a regular element of  $A/I$ . Since the socle of  $A/(I, z)$  contains the residue class of  $x^2y^3$ , we have  $\text{depth } A/I = 1$ . Therefore,  $A/I^{(1)} = A/I$  is not Cohen-Macaulay. On the other hand, we have  $I^2 = (x, y)^{10} \cap (I^2 + (z, t))$ . Hence,  $I^{(2)} = (x, y)^{10}$ . Now, we can see that  $z, t$  is a regular sequence of  $I^{(1)}/I^{(2)}$ . Therefore,  $I^{(1)}/I^{(2)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

We end this section with the following formulas for the case where one of the ideals  $I$  and  $J$  is generated by linear forms. Though this case appears to be simple, these formulas had not been known before. The proof is based on the binomial expansion of  $(I + J)^{(n)}$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** *Assume that  $J$  is generated by linear forms. Then*

- (i)  $\text{depth } R/(I + J)^{(n)} = \min_{i \leq n} \{\text{depth } A/I^{(i)} + \dim B/J\},$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } R/(I + J)^{(n)} = \max_{i \leq n} \{\text{reg } A/I^{(i)} - i\} + n.$

*Proof.* Assume that  $B = k[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ . Without restriction we may assume that  $J = (y_1, \dots, y_t)$ ,  $t \leq s$ . Then  $\dim B/J = s - t$ . Set  $B' = k[y_1, \dots, y_t]$ ,  $J' = (y_1, \dots, y_t)B'$ , and  $R' = A \otimes_k B'$ . It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/(I + J)^{(n)} &= \text{depth } R'/(I + J')^{(n)} + s - t, \\ \text{reg } R/(I + J)^{(n)} &= \text{reg } R'/(I + J')^{(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we only need to prove the case  $t = s$ .

If  $t = s = 1$ , we set  $y = y_1$ . Then  $B = k[y]$  and  $J = (y)$ . By Theorem 3.4, we have  $(I, y)^{(n)} = \sum_{i=0}^n I^{(i)} y^{n-i}$ . If we write  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A y^i$ , then  $R/(I, y)^{(n)} = \bigoplus_{i \leq n} (A/I^{(i)}) y^{n-i}$ . From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/(I, y)^{(n)} &= \min_{i \leq n} \text{depth } A/I^{(i)}, \\ \text{reg } R/(I, y)^{(n)} &= \max_{i \leq n} \{\text{reg } A/I^{(i)} - i\} + n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $t = s > 1$ , we set  $A' = A[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}]$  and  $I' = (I, y_1, \dots, y_{s-1})A'$ . Using induction we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } A'/(I')^{(n)} &= \min_{i \leq n} \text{depth } A/I^{(i)}, \\ \text{reg } A'/(I')^{(n)} &= \max_{i \leq n} \{\text{reg } A/I^{(i)} - i\} + n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I + J = (I', y_s)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R/(I + J)^{(n)} &= \min_{i \leq n} \text{depth } A'/(I')^{(i)} = \min_{i \leq n} \text{depth } A/I^{(i)}, \\ \text{reg } R/(I + J)^{(n)} &= \max_{i \leq n} \{\text{reg } A'/(I')^{(i)} - i\} + n = \max_{i \leq n} \{\text{reg } A/I^{(i)} - i\} + n. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5. SPLITTING CONDITIONS

The aim of this section is to show that the inequalities of Theorem 4.6 are equalities if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  or if  $I$  and  $J$  are monomial ideals. Our main tool is the following notion which allows us to compute the depth and the regularity of a sum of ideals in terms of those of the summands.

Let  $R$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $k$ . Let  $P, I, J$  be nonzero homogeneous ideals of  $R$  such that  $P = I + J$ . The sum  $P = I + J$  is called a *Betti splitting* if the Betti numbers of  $P, I, J, I \cap J$  satisfy the relation

$$\beta_{i,j}(P) = \beta_{i,j}(I) + \beta_{i,j}(J) + \beta_{i-1,j}(I \cap J)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

This notion was introduced by Francisco, Hà and Van Tuyl [13]. It generalizes the notion of splittable monomial ideals of Eliahou and Kervaire [12]. The following result explains why it is useful to have a Betti splitting.

**Lemma 5.1.** [13, Corollary 2.2] *Let  $P = I + J$  be a Betti splitting. Then*

- (i)  $\text{depth } R/P = \min\{\text{depth } R/I, \text{depth } R/J, \text{depth } R/I \cap J - 1\},$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } R/P = \max\{\text{reg } R/I, \text{reg } R/J, \text{reg } R/I \cap J - 1\}.$

A Betti splitting can be characterized by the splitting of  $\text{Tor}$ . We say that a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  of graded  $R$ -modules is *Tor-vanishing* if  $\text{Tor}_i^R(k, \phi) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . This notion was due to Nguyen and Vu [28].

**Lemma 5.2.** [13, Proposition 2.1] *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The decomposition  $P = I + J$  is a Betti splitting.*
- (ii) *The inclusion maps  $I \cap J \rightarrow I$  and  $I \cap J \rightarrow J$  are Tor-vanishing.*

We say that a filtration of ideals  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  in  $R$  is a *Tor-vanishing filtration* if for all  $n \geq 1$ , the inclusion map  $P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}$  is Tor-vanishing, i.e.  $\text{Tor}_i^R(k, P_n) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(k, P_{n-1})$  is the zero map for all  $i \geq 0$ .

The following result shows that the inequalities of Theorem 4.2 are equalities if the given filtrations are Tor-vanishing.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\{I_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  be Tor-vanishing filtrations in  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $Q_n = \sum_{i+j=n} I_i J_j$ . Then*

- (i)  $\text{depth } R/Q_n = \min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{\text{depth } A/I_{n-i} + \text{depth } B/J_i + 1, \text{depth } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{depth } B/J_j\},$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } R/Q_n = \max_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \{\text{reg } A/I_{n-i} + \text{reg } B/J_i + 1, \text{reg } A/I_{n-j+1} + \text{reg } B/J_j\}.$

*Proof.* We shall only prove the formula for depth. The formula for regularity can be proved similarly.

For  $t = 0, \dots, n$ , set

$$P_{n,t} := I_n J_0 + I_{n-1} J_1 + \dots + I_{n-t} J_t.$$

Then  $P_{n,0} = I_n$ ,  $P_{n,n} = Q_n$ , and  $P_{n,t} = P_{n,t-1} + I_{n-t} J_t$  for  $t \geq 1$ . We will compute  $\text{depth } R/Q_n$  by successively computing  $\text{depth } R/P_{n,t}$ . To do that we will first show that  $P_{n,t} = P_{n,t-1} + I_{n-t} J_t$  is a Betti splitting for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ .

We have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.2 that

$$P_{n,t-1} \cap I_{n-t}J_t = I_{n-t+1}J_t.$$

Note that  $I_{n-t+1}J_t = I_{n-t+1} \otimes_k J_t$  and  $I_{n-t}J_t = I_{n-t} \otimes_k J_t$ . By the hypothesis, the inclusion map  $I_{n-t+1} \rightarrow I_{n-t}$  is Tor-vanishing. Since the tensor product with  $J_t$  is an exact functor, the inclusion map  $I_{n-t+1}J_t \rightarrow I_{n-t}J_t$  is also Tor-vanishing. Similarly, the inclusion map  $I_{n-t+1}J_t \rightarrow I_{n-t+1}J_{t-1}$  is Tor-vanishing. Since  $I_{n-t+1}J_t \subseteq I_{n-t+1}J_{t-1} \subseteq P_{n,t-1}$ , the inclusion map  $I_{n-t+1}J_t \rightarrow P_{n,t-1}$  is also Tor-vanishing. By Lemma 5.2, it follows that  $P_{n,t} = P_{n,t-1} + I_{n-t}J_t$  is a Betti splitting.

Now we can apply Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$\text{depth } R/P_{n,t} = \min \{ \text{depth } R/P_{n,t-1}, \text{depth } R/I_{n-t}J_t, \text{depth } R/I_{n-t+1}J_t - 1 \}.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 we will get the desired conclusion.  $\square$

If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , we can use the following result of Ahangari Maleki in [1] to find Tor-vanishing filtrations. Let  $\partial(Q)$  denote the ideal generated by the partial derivatives of the generators of an ideal  $Q$ . Using the chain rule for taking partial derivatives, it is not hard to see that  $\partial(Q)$  does not depend on the chosen generators of  $Q$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** [1, Proof of Theorem 2.5] *Assume that  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Let  $Q \subseteq Q'$  be homogeneous ideals such that  $\partial(Q) \subseteq Q'$ . Then the inclusion map  $Q \rightarrow Q'$  is Tor-vanishing.*

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $I$  be a nonzero proper homogeneous ideal in  $A$ . If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  then  $\partial(I^{(n)}) \subseteq I^{(n-1)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, the filtration  $\{I^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is Tor-vanishing.*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Ass}_A^*(I) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ass}_A(I^n)$ . This is a finite set due to a classical result of Brodmann [5]. Set  $L = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Ass}^*(I) \setminus \text{Min}(I)} P$ . It follows from the definition of symbolic powers that  $I^{(m)} = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} I^m : L^t$  for all  $m \geq 0$ .

Let  $t \geq 1$  be an integer such that  $I^{(n)}L^t \subseteq I^n$ . For any  $f \in I^{(n)}$  and  $g \in L^{t+1}$ , we have  $fg \in I^n$ . Let  $\partial_x$  denote the partial derivative with respect to an arbitrary variable  $x$  of  $A$ . We have  $\partial_x(f)g + f\partial_x(g) = \partial_x(fg) \in \partial(I^n) \subseteq I^{n-1}$ . Since  $\partial_x(g) \in \partial(L^{t+1}) \subseteq L^t$ , we have  $f\partial_x(g) \in fL^t \subseteq I^n$ . Therefore,  $\partial_x(f)g = \partial_x(fg) - f\partial_x(g) \in I^{n-1}$ . Hence,  $\partial_x(f) \in I^{n-1} : L^{t+1} \subseteq I^{(n-1)}$ . So we can conclude that  $\partial(I^{(n)}) \subseteq I^{(n-1)}$ .  $\square$

Now, we can show that the bounds in Theorem 4.6 are actually the exact values of the depth and the regularity of  $R/(I + J)^{(n)}$  if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be nonzero proper homogeneous ideals in  $A$  and  $B$ . Assume that  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i) } \text{depth } R/(I+J)^{(n)} = \\
& \min_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \left\{ \text{depth } A/I^{(n-i)} + \text{depth } B/J^{(j)} + 1, \text{depth } A/I^{(n-j+1)} + \text{depth } B/J^{(j)} \right\}, \\
& \text{(ii) } \text{reg } R/(I+J)^{(n)} = \\
& \max_{\substack{i \in [1, n-1] \\ j \in [1, n]}} \left\{ \text{reg } A/I^{(n-i)} + \text{reg } B/J^{(j)} + 1, \text{reg } A/I^{(n-j+1)} + \text{reg } B/J^{(j)} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.5, the filtrations  $\{I^{(i)}\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J^{(j)}\}_{j \geq 0}$  are Tor-vanishing. Therefore, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

**Example 5.7.** The following example shows that both equalities of Theorem 5.6 may fail if one of the base rings is not regular, even if  $\text{char } k = 0$ . By abuse of notations, we will denote the residue class of an element  $f$  by  $f$  itself. Take

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d]/(a^4, a^3b, ab^3, b^4, a^3c - b^3d), I = (a^2 - b^2), \\
B &= \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, t], J = (x^5, x^4y, xy^4, y^5, x^2y^2(xz^6 - yt^6), x^3y^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $S = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d]$  then  $A = S/(a^4, a^3b, ab^3, b^4, a^3c - b^3d)$ . Computations with Macaulay2 [14] show that  $I^{(1)} = I, I^{(2)} = I^2 = (a^2b^2)$ . Hence, there are isomorphisms of  $S$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned}
A/I^{(1)} &\cong S/(a^2 - b^2, a^4, a^3b, a^3c - b^3d), \\
A/I^{(2)} &\cong S/(a^4, a^3b, a^2b^2, ab^3, b^4, a^3c - b^3d).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{depth}(A/I^{(1)}) &= \text{depth}(A/I^{(2)}) = 1, \\
\text{reg}(A/I^{(1)}) &= \text{reg}(A/I^{(2)}) = 3.
\end{aligned}$$

Macaulay2 computations also give  $J^{(1)} = J = (x^5, x^4y, xy^4, y^5, x^2y^2(xz^6 - yt^6), x^3y^3)$ ,  $J^{(2)} = (x, y)^{10}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{depth}(B/J^{(1)}) &= 1, \text{depth}(B/J^{(2)}) = 2, \\
\text{reg}(B/J^{(1)}) &= 10, \text{reg}(B/J^{(2)}) = 9.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,  $Q = I + J = (a^2 - b^2, x^5, x^4y, xy^4, y^5, x^2y^2(xz^6 - yt^6), x^3y^3) \subseteq R$ . Let  $T = \mathbb{Q}[a, b, c, d, x, y, z, t]$ . Then  $R = T/(a^4, a^3b, ab^3, b^4, a^3c - b^3d)$ . By Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^{(2)} &= I^{(2)} + I^{(1)}J^{(1)} + J^{(2)} \\
&= (a^2b^2) + (a^2 - b^2)(x^5, x^4y, xy^4, y^5, x^2y^2(xz^6 - yt^6), x^3y^3) + (x, y)^{10}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{depth}(R/Q^{(2)}) &= 3 > 2 = \text{depth}(A/I^{(2)}) + \text{depth}(B/J^{(1)}), \\
\text{reg}(R/Q^{(2)}) &= 13 < 14 = \text{reg}(A/I^{(1)}) + \text{reg}(B/J^{(1)}) + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, both equalities of Theorem 5.6 fail in this example.

In the case where  $A$  and  $B$  are regular rings, one may ask whether Theorem 5.6 holds regardless of the characteristic of  $k$ . The proof of Theorem 5.6 depends on Proposition 5.5, which does not hold in positive characteristic. The following example is inspired by the work of Eisenbud and Mazur on symbolic squares [11].

**Example 5.8.** Consider the kernel  $L$  of the map  $R = (\mathbb{Z}/2)[x, y, z, t] \rightarrow S = (\mathbb{Z}/2)[u]$  given by  $x \mapsto u^4, y \mapsto u^6, z \mapsto u^7, t \mapsto u^9$ . Clearly,  $L$  is a prime ideal. Hence,  $L^{(1)} = L$ . Computations with Macaulay2 [14] show that  $L$  is minimally generated by the following polynomials:

$$g_1 = x^3 + y^2, g_2 = yz + xt, g_3 = x^2y + z^2, g_4 = xz^2 + t^2, g_5 = x^2z + yt, g_6 = xy^2 + zt.$$

The map  $L^{(2)} \rightarrow L^{(1)}$  is not Tor-vanishing since  $\mathrm{Tor}_0^R(k, L^{(2)}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_0^R(k, L)$  is not zero. In fact, for  $f = x^3y - y^3 - xz^2 + t^2$ , we have  $x^2f = g_1g_3 + g_2^2 \in L^2$ , which shows that  $f \in L^{(2)}$ . On the other hand, we have  $f \notin \mathfrak{m}_R L$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}_R = (x, y, z, t)$ .

While the ideal  $L$  is not homogeneous in the standard grading, it is weighted homogeneous by setting  $\deg x = 4, \deg y = 6, \deg z = 7, \deg t = 9$ . Now we use the polarization trick of J. McCullough and I. Peeva [25] to transform  $L$  into a homogeneous ideal in the standard grading. Consider a new polynomial ring  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/2)[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4]$  and the homogeneous map  $\phi : R \rightarrow A$  given by  $x \mapsto x_1^3y_1, y \mapsto x_2^5y_2, z \mapsto x_3^6y_3, t \mapsto x_4^8y_4$ . Let  $I$  be the extension of  $L$  to  $A$ . Then one can check with Macaulay2 [14] that  $I$  is a prime ideal in  $A$ , and more importantly,  $I$  is homogeneous in the standard grading of  $A$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}_A = (x_1, \dots, x_4, y_1, \dots, y_4)$ . Then the relation  $x^2f = g_1g_3 + g_2^2$  shows that  $\phi(f) \in I^{(2)} \setminus \mathfrak{m}_A I$ . Hence, the map  $I^{(2)} \rightarrow I^{(1)}$  is not Tor-vanishing. Using similar arguments and the example in [11, Example, p. 200], we even have similar examples in any positive characteristic.

We cannot construct from Example 5.8 any counterexample to the conclusion of Theorem 5.6 in positive characteristics.

Our next main result shows that Theorem 5.6 holds for arbitrary monomial ideals regardless of the characteristic of  $k$ . We shall first collect alternatives for Lemma 5.4 and Proposition 5.5 in this case, and then present the result in Theorem 5.11.

For a monomial ideal  $Q$  we denote by  $\partial^*(Q)$  the ideal generated by elements of the form  $f/x$ , where  $f$  is a minimal monomial generator of  $Q$  and  $x$  is a variable dividing  $f$ .

**Lemma 5.9.** [28, Proposition 4.4] *Let  $Q \subseteq Q'$  be monomial ideals such that  $\partial^*(Q) \subseteq Q'$ . Then the inclusion map  $Q \rightarrow Q'$  is Tor-vanishing.*

**Proposition 5.10.** *Let  $I$  be a nonzero proper monomial ideal in  $A$ . Then  $\partial^*(I^{(n)}) \subseteq I^{(n-1)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, the filtration  $\{I^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is Tor-vanishing.*

*Proof.* Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  be the primary components of  $I$  associated to the minimal primes of  $I$ . Note that  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  are monomial ideals. By [7, Theorem 3.7], we

have

$$I^{(n)} = Q_1^n \cap \cdots \cap Q_s^n.$$

It is clear that  $\partial^*(Q^n) = \partial^*(Q)Q^{n-1} \subseteq Q^{n-1}$  for any monomial ideal  $Q$ . Therefore,

$$\partial^*(I^{(n)}) \subseteq \partial^*(Q_1^n) \cap \cdots \cap \partial^*(Q_s^n) \subseteq Q_1^{n-1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_s^{n-1} = I^{(n-1)}.$$

By Lemma 5.9, this implies that  $\{I^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  is Tor-vanishing.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.11.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be nonzero proper monomial ideals in  $A$  and  $B$ . Then the equalities of Theorem 5.6 hold regardless of the characteristic of  $k$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.10, both the filtrations  $\{I^{(i)}\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{J^{(j)}\}_{j \geq 0}$  are Tor-vanishing. Therefore, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

Our results on Tor-vanishing have some interesting consequences on the relationship between the depth and the regularity of  $A/I^{(n-1)}$ ,  $A/I^{(n)}$ , and  $I^{(n-1)}/I^{(n)}$ .

**Proposition 5.12.** *Let  $I$  be a nonzero proper homogeneous ideal in  $A$ . Assume that  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  or  $I$  is a monomial ideal. Then for any  $n \geq 1$ ,*

- (i)  $\text{depth } I^{(n-1)}/I^{(n)} = \min\{\text{depth } A/I^{(n-1)} + 1, \text{depth } A/I^{(n)}\},$
- (ii)  $\text{reg } I^{(n-1)}/I^{(n)} = \max\{\text{reg } I^{(n-1)}, \text{reg } I^{(n)} - 1\}.$

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 5.10, the inclusion map  $I^{(n)} \rightarrow I^{(n-1)}$  is Tor-vanishing, i.e.  $\text{Tor}_i^A(k, I^{(n)}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^A(k, I^{(n-1)})$  is the zero map for all  $i$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I^{(n)} \rightarrow I^{(n-1)} \rightarrow I^{(n-1)}/I^{(n)} \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that the long exact sequence of Tor splits into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(k, I^{(n-1)}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(k, I^{(n-1)}/I^{(n)}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^A(k, I^{(n)}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the characterization of the depth and the regularity by Tor we can easily deduce the conclusion.  $\square$

## 6. DEPTH FUNCTIONS OF POWERS OF IDEALS

We call the function  $\text{depth } S/Q^n$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , the *depth function* of  $Q$ . By a result of Brodmann [6],  $\text{depth } S/Q^n$  becomes a constant for  $n \gg 0$ . That is, the depth function is always convergent. From random examples it was initially perceived that the depth function of a polynomial ideal is always a non-increasing function. It came as a surprise when Herzog and Hibi [19, Theorem 4.1] showed that the depth function can be any bounded non-decreasing non-negative numerical function. Herzog and Hibi [19, p. 535] raised the conjecture that the depth function of a polynomial ideal can be any convergent non-negative numerical function. Examples of monomial ideals with non-monotone depth functions were given in [19, p. 549], [27, Example 4.18].

Bandari, Herzog and Hibi [3, Theorem 0.1] showed that there exist monomial ideals whose depth functions have any given number of local maxima. There was

a failed attempt to prove that the depth function can be any non-increasing non-negative numerical function [16, Theorem 4.9]. The proof of [16, Theorem 4.9] contained a gap. However, with the same technique, one could still show that the depth function of a polynomial ideal could be any function  $f(n)$  of non-negative integers satisfying the condition that  $f(n) \geq 2f(n+1)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Another large class of non-increasing depth function was subsequently given by Matsuda, Suzuki and Tsuchiya [24, Theorem 1.1].

In this section we will settle the conjecture of Herzog and Hibi. The starting point of our solution is the following observation on the additivity of depth functions.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be polynomial rings over a field  $k$ . Let  $I \subset A$  and  $J \subset B$  be nonzero homogeneous ideals. Then there exists an ideal  $Q$  in a polynomial ring  $S$  such that for all  $n > 0$ ,*

$$\text{depth } S/Q^n = \text{depth } A/I^n + \text{depth } B/J^n.$$

*Moreover, if  $I$  and  $J$  are monomial ideals, then  $Q$  can be chosen to be a monomial ideal.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  be arbitrary variables. Let  $R = A \otimes_k B$ . Then  $R$  is a polynomial ring in the variables of  $A$  and  $B$ . As in the preceding sections, we also use  $I, J$  to denote the extensions of  $I, J$  in  $R$ . By Lemma 4.1 we have  $\text{depth } R/IJ = \text{depth } A/I + \text{depth } B/J + 1$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have  $IJ = I \cap J$ . The associated primes of  $I \cap J$  are extensions of ideals in one of the rings  $A, B$ . Therefore,  $x - y$  does not belong to any associated prime of  $IJ$ . From this it follows that

$$\text{depth } R/(IJ, x - y) = \text{depth } A/I + \text{depth } B/J.$$

Obviously, we may replace  $I, J$  by  $I^n, J^n$  and obtain

$$\text{depth } R/(I^n J^n, x - y) = \text{depth } A/I^n + \text{depth } B/J^n.$$

Set  $S = R/(x - y)$  and  $Q = (IJ, x - y)/(x - y)$ . Then  $S$  is isomorphic to a polynomial ring and

$$\text{depth } S/Q^n = \text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x - y) = \text{depth } A/I^n + \text{depth } B/J^n$$

for all  $n > 0$ . Moreover,  $Q$  is a monomial ideal if  $I, J$  are monomial ideals.  $\square$

In the following we identify a numerical function  $f(n)$  with the sequence  $f(1), f(2), \dots$ . It is clear that any convergent non-negative numerical function can be written as a sum of numerical functions of the following two types:

Type I:  $0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, 1, \dots$

Type II:  $0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, \dots$

To prove the conjecture of Herzog and Hibi, we only need to find ideals with these depth functions and apply Proposition 6.1.

Herzog and Hibi already constructed monomial ideals  $I$  with the depth function of type I [19]. We also know that there are monomial ideals  $J$  with the

depth function  $1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots$  [16, 24]. By the proof of Proposition 6.1, the function  $\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x - y)$  is a sequence of the form  $1, \dots, 1, 2, 1, 1, \dots$  for some variables  $x, y$ . If we can find variables  $x', y'$  such that  $x' - y'$  is a non-zerodivisor in  $R/((IJ)^n, x - y)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x - y, x' - y')$  is a function of type II. Clearly, we can identify  $S = R/(x - y, x' - y')$  with a polynomial ring and  $(IJ, x - y, x' - y')/(x - y, x' - y')$  with a monomial ideal in  $S$ .

To find such variables  $x', y'$  we need to know the associated primes of the ideal  $((IJ)^n, x - y)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . For convenience, we denote the set of the associated primes of an ideal  $Q$  by  $\text{Ass}(Q)$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be polynomial rings over a field  $k$ . Let  $I \subset A$  and  $J \subset B$  be nonzero proper homogeneous ideals. Let  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  be arbitrary variables. Let  $R = A \otimes_k B$ . Then*

$$\text{Ass}(IJ, x - y) = \{(\mathfrak{p}, x - y) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)\} \cup \{(\mathfrak{q}, x - y) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I), x \in \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J), y \in \mathfrak{q}}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be an arbitrary prime of  $\text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$ . Then  $P = \mathfrak{p} + (x - y)$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)$ . If  $J \subseteq P$ , we must have  $J \subseteq (y) \subset P$ . This implies  $J = y^d J'$  for some ideal  $J' \subset B$ ,  $J' \not\subseteq (y)$ ,  $d \geq 1$ . Let  $f \in A$  be an element such that  $P = (I, x - y) : f$ . It is easy to check that  $(y^d I, x - y) : y^d f = (I, x - y) : f = P$ . Hence,  $P \in \text{Ass}(y^d I, x - y)$ . Since  $(IJ, x - y)_P = (y^d I, x - y)_P$ , this implies  $P \in \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$ . If  $J \not\subseteq P$ , we have  $(IJ, x - y)_P = (I, x - y)_P$ . Hence,  $P \in \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$ . So we can conclude that

$$\text{Ass}(I, x - y) = \{(\mathfrak{p}, x - y) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)\} \subseteq \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y).$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\text{Ass}(J, x - y) = \{(\mathfrak{q}, x - y) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)\} \subseteq \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y).$$

It remains to prove that if  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ , which does not belong to  $\text{Ass}(I, x - y)$  nor  $\text{Ass}(J, x - y)$ , then  $P \in \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$  if and only if  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

Without restriction, we may assume that  $(IJ, x - y) \subseteq P$ . Since  $P \notin \text{Ass}(I, x - y)$ , we have  $\text{depth}(R/(I, x - y))_P \geq 1$ . Since  $x - y$  is a non-zerodivisor on  $I$ , this implies  $\text{depth}(R/I)_P \geq 2$ . Similarly, we also have  $\text{depth}(R/J)_P \geq 2$ . Note that  $P \in \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$  if and only if  $\text{depth}(R/(IJ, x - y))_P = 0$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have  $IJ = I \cap J$ . Hence,  $x - y$  is a non-zerodivisor in  $R/IJ$ . From this it follows that  $P \in \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$  if and only if  $\text{depth}(R/IJ)_P = 1$ . Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (R/IJ)_P \rightarrow (R/I)_P \oplus (R/J)_P \rightarrow (R/I + J)_P \rightarrow 0$$

we can deduce that  $\text{depth}(R/IJ)_P = 1$  if and only if  $\text{depth}(R/I + J)_P = 0$ , which means  $P \in \text{Ass}(I + J)$ . By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\text{Ass}(I + J) = \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}).$$

If  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$ , then  $P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $P \cap B = \mathfrak{q}$  by Lemma 2.4(i). Moreover,  $P$  is a bihomogeneous ideal with respect to the natural bigraded structure of  $R = A \otimes_k B$ . In this case,  $x - y \in P$  implies  $x \in P \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y \in P \cap B = \mathfrak{q}$ . So we can conclude that  $P \in \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y)$  if and only if  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{q}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** If  $I, J$  are not homogeneous, we can use the same arguments to prove the following more general formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass}(IJ, x - y) = & \{(\mathfrak{p}, x - y) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)\} \cup \{(\mathfrak{q}, x - y) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)\} \cup \\ & \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I) \\ \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)}} \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}) \cap V(x - y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $V(x - y)$  denotes the set of prime ideals containing  $x - y$ . In this case,  $(IJ, x - y)$  may have an associated prime  $P \in \text{Min}_R(R/\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q})$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)$  and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J)$  but  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y \notin \mathfrak{q}$ .

**Example 6.4.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Q}[x, z]$  and  $I = (x^2 + 1, z)$ . Let  $B = \mathbb{Q}[y, t]$  and  $J = (y^2 + 1, t)$ . Then  $I, J$  are prime ideals,  $x \notin I$  and  $y \notin J$ . We have  $\text{Min}_R(R/I + J) = \{(x^2 + 1, t, z, x - y), (x^2 + 1, t, z, x + y)\}$ . Hence

$$\text{Ass}(IJ, x - y) = \{(x^2 + 1, z, x - y), (y^2 + 1, t, x - y), (x^2 + 1, z, t, x - y)\}.$$

Now we can present a case where there are variables  $x', y'$  such that  $x' - y'$  is a non-zerodivisor in  $R/((IJ)^n, x - y)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This case allows us to lower the function  $\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x - y)$  and still get a monomial ideal in  $R/(x - y, x' - y')$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $I$  be a proper monomial ideal in  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , such that  $x_3, \dots, x_r \in \sqrt{I}$ . Let  $J$  be a proper monomial ideal in  $B = k[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ ,  $s \geq 3$ , such that  $y_3, \dots, y_s \in \sqrt{J}$ . Let  $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s]$ . Assume that  $\text{depth } A/I^n > 0$  or  $\text{depth } B/J^n > 0$  for some  $n > 0$ . Then*

$$\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_2) = \text{depth } R/I^n + \text{depth } R/J^n - 1.$$

*Proof.* By the proof of Proposition 6.1 we have

$$\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x_1 - y_1) = \text{depth } R/I^n + \text{depth } R/J^n \geq 1.$$

It remains to show that  $x_2 - y_2$  is a non-zerodivisor in  $R/((IJ)^n, x_1 - y_1)$ . Assume for the contrary that  $x_2 - y_2 \in P$  for some associated prime  $P$  of  $((IJ)^n, x_1 - y_1)$ . By Proposition 6.2,  $P = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$  for some  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I^n)$ ,  $x_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Ass}(J^n)$ ,  $y_1 \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are generated by variables in  $A$  and  $B$ . Since  $x_2 - y_2 \in \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q}$ , we must have  $x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y_2 \in \mathfrak{q}$ . The assumption  $x_3, \dots, x_r \in \sqrt{I}$  and  $y_3, \dots, y_s \in \sqrt{J}$  implies  $x_3, \dots, x_r \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $y_3, \dots, y_s \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Hence,

$x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s \in P$ . This implies  $P = (x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, x_1 - y_1) \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Using Proposition 6.5 we can construct monomial ideals having the depth function of type II.

**Example 6.6.** Let  $A = k[x, y, z]$  and  $I = (x^{d+2}, x^{d+1}y, xy^{d+1}, y^{d+2}, x^d y^2 z)$ ,  $d \geq 2$ . By [19, Theorem 4.1] we have

$$\text{depth } A/I^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq d-1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \geq d. \end{cases}$$

Let  $B = k[t, u, v]$ . Let  $J$  be the integral closure of the ideal  $(t^{3d+3}, tu^{3d+1}v, u^{3d+2}v)^3$  or  $J = (t^{d+1}, tu^{d-1}v, u^d v)$ . By [16, Example 4.10] and [24, Proposition 1.5] we have

$$\text{depth } B/J^n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq d, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq d+1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $R = k[x, y, z, t, u, v]$ . By Proposition 6.5, we have

$$\text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, y - u, z - v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \neq d, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = d. \end{cases}$$

If we set  $S = k[x, t, u, v]$  and  $Q = (x^{d+2}, x^{d+1}u, xu^{d+1}, u^{d+2}, x^d u^2 v)J$ , which is obtained from  $IJ$  by setting  $y = u$  and  $z = v$ , then

$$\text{depth } S/Q^n = \text{depth } R/((IJ)^n, y - u, z - v).$$

Hence, the depth function of  $Q$  is of type II.

Since we have monomial ideals with depth functions of type I and II, we use Proposition 6.1 to glue these ideals and obtain the following solution to the conjecture of Herzog and Hibi.

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $f(n)$  be any convergent non-negative numerical function. Then there exists a monomial ideal  $Q$  in a polynomial ring  $S$  such that  $\text{depth } S/Q^n = f(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

This result has the following interesting applications on the associated primes of powers of ideals.

**Corollary 6.8.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be any finite set of positive integers. Then there exists a monomial ideal  $Q$  in a polynomial ring  $S$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  if and only if  $n \in \Gamma$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal homogeneous ideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(n)$  be any convergent non-negative numerical function such that  $f(n) = 0$  if and only if  $n \in \Gamma$ . Then there exists a monomial ideal  $Q$  in a polynomial ring  $S$  such that  $\text{depth } S/Q^n = f(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This is the desired ideal because  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  if and only if  $\text{depth } S/Q^n = 0$ .  $\square$

For an arbitrary ideal  $Q$  in a Noetherian ring  $S$ , Brodmann [5] showed that  $\text{Ass}(Q^n)$  becomes stable for all  $n$  sufficiently large. Subsequently, Ratliff [30, (8.4) and (8.9)], [31, (3.1)] raised the following questions:

- (1) Let  $P \supset Q$  be a prime ideal such that  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^m)$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large. Is  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  for all  $n \geq m$ ?
- (2) Given a finite set  $\Gamma$  of positive integers, do there exist a Noetherian ring  $S$  and ideals  $P \supset Q$  in  $S$ , where  $P$  is a prime ideal such that  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q^n)$  if and only if  $n \in \Gamma$ ?

Corollary 6.8 gives a negative answer to (1) and a positive answer to (2).

We could not prove similar results for the depth function of the symbolic powers of a homogeneous ideal. However, we believe that for any convergent positive numerical function  $f(n)$ , there is a homogeneous ideal  $Q$  in a polynomial ring  $S$  such that  $\text{depth } S/Q^{(n)} = f(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ahangari Maleki, Golod property of powers of ideals and ideals with linear resolutions, Preprint, 2015, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1510.04435>.
- [2] C. Bahiano, Symbolic powers of edge ideals, *J. Algebra* **273** (2004), 517–537.
- [3] S. Bandari, J. Herzog, and T. Hibi, Monomial ideals whose depth function has any given number of strict local maxima, *Ark. Mat.* **52** (2014), 11–19.
- [4] C. Bocci, S. Cooper, E. Guardo, B. Harbourne, M. Janssen, U. Nagel, A. Seceleanu, A. Van Tuyl, and T. Vu, The Waldschmidt constant for squarefree monomial ideals, *J. Algebr. Comb.* **44**, no. 4 (2016), 875–904.
- [5] M. Brodmann, Asymptotic stability of  $\text{Ass}(M/I^n M)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1979), 16–18.
- [6] M. Brodmann, The asymptotic nature of the analytic spread, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **86** (1979), no. 1, 35–39.
- [7] S.M. Cooper, R.J.D. Embree, H.T. Hà and A.H. Hoefel, Symbolic powers of monomial ideals, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **27** (2017), 39–55.
- [8] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, and K. Smith, Uniform bounds and symbolic powers on smooth varieties, *Invent. Math.* **144** (2001), 241–252.
- [9] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra: with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, 1995.
- [10] D. Eisenbud and M. Hochster, A Nullstellensatz with nilpotents and Zariskis main lemma on holomorphic functions, *J. Algebra* **58** (1979), no. 1, 157–161.
- [11] D. Eisenbud and B. Mazur, Evolutions, symbolic squares, and Fitting ideals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **488** (1997), 189–201.
- [12] S. Eliahou and M. Kervaire, Minimal resolutions of some monomial ideals, *J. Algebra* **129** (1990), no. 1, 1–25.
- [13] C. Francisco, H.T. Hà and A. Van Tuyl, Splittings of monomial ideals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), 3271–3282.
- [14] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. Available at <https://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2>.
- [15] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie*, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS **24**, 1965.
- [16] H. T. Hà, N. V. Trung and T. N. Trung, Depth and regularity of powers of sums of ideals, *Math. Z.* **282** (2016), no. 3–4, 819–838.

- [17] B. Harbourne and C. Huneke, Are symbolic powers highly evolved? *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **28A** (2013), 247–266.
- [18] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and N. V. Trung, Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and vertex cover algebras, *Adv. Math.* **210** (2007), 304–322.
- [19] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, The depth of powers of an ideal, *J. Algebra* **291** (2005), 534–550.
- [20] L. T. Hoa, K. Kimura, N. Terai and T. N. Trung, Stability of depths of symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, *J. Algebra* **473** (2017), 307–323.
- [21] L. T. Hoa and N. D. Tam, On some invariants of a mixed product of ideals, *Arch. Math.* **94** (2010), 327–337.
- [22] L. T. Hoa and T. N. Trung, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of symbolic powers of two-dimensional square-free monomial ideals, *J. Commut. Algebra* **8** (2016), no. 1, 77–88.
- [23] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals, *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), 349–369.
- [24] K. Matsuda, T. Suzuki and A. Tsuchiya, Nonincreasing depth functions of monomial ideals. Preprint (2016), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07223>.
- [25] J. McCullough and I. Peeva, Counterexamples to the Eisenbud-Goto regularity conjecture, Preprint (2016), <http://users.rider.edu/~jmcullough/papers/Regularity.pdf>.
- [26] N. C. Minh and N. V. Trung, Cohen-Macaulayness of monomial ideals and symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 1285–1306.
- [27] S. Morey and R. H. Villarreal, Edge ideals: algebraic and combinatorial properties, in: *Progress in Commutative Algebra, Combinatorics and Homology*, Vol. 1, De Gruyter, 2012, 85–126.
- [28] H.D. Nguyen and T. Vu, Powers of sums and their homological invariants, Preprint (2016), <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.07380>.
- [29] L. O’Carroll and M. A. Qureshi, Primary rings and tensor products of algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **92** (1982), 41–48.
- [30] L.J. Ratliff, Jr. A brief survey and history of asymptotic prime divisors, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **13**, no. 3 (1983), 437–459.
- [31] L.J. Ratliff, Jr. On asymptotic prime divisors, *Pacific J. Math.* **111**, no. 2 (1984), 395–413.
- [32] H. Sabzrou, M. Tousi and S. Yassemi, Simplicial join via tensor products, *Manuscripta math.* **126**, 255–272 (2008).
- [33] A. Seidenberg, The prime ideals of a polynomial ideal under extension of the base field, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* **102** (1975), 57–59.
- [34] S. Sullivant, Combinatorial symbolic powers, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 115–142.
- [35] N. Terai and N. V. Trung, Cohen-Macaulayness of large powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, *Adv. Math.* **229** (2012), 711–730.
- [36] N. V. Trung and T. M. Tuan, Equality of ordinary and symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, *J. Algebra* **328** (2011), 77–93.
- [37] P. Vamos, On the minimal prime ideals of a tensor product of two fields, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **84** (1978), 25–35.
- [38] M. Waldschmidt, Propriétés arithmétiques de fonctions de plusieurs variables II, In *Séminaire P. Lelong (Analyse)*, 1975/76, *Lecture Notes Math.* 578, Springer, 1977, 108–135.
- [39] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. I, Springer, 1975.

TULANE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 6823 ST. CHARLES AVE., NEW ORLEANS, LA 70118, USA

*E-mail address:* `tha@tulane.edu`

*URL:* `http://www.math.tulane.edu/~tai/`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI GENOVA, VIA DODECANESO 35, 16146 GENOA, ITALY

*E-mail address:* `ngdhop@gmail.com`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET, HANOI, VIETNAM

*E-mail address:* `nvtrung@math.ac.vn`

*URL:* `http://math.ac.vn/en/component/staff/?task=getProfile&staffID=64`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET, HANOI, VIETNAM

*E-mail address:* `tntrung@math.ac.vn`

*URL:* `http://math.ac.vn/en/component/staff/?task=getProfile&staffID=65`